Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/666,300	KUMAR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary Chin	3661
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Gary Chin.	(3)	· ·
(2) <u>John DeAngelis, Jr.</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	•
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
· vojestisti(e) dissussed.	N.	
Claims discussed:		
1, 3-5, 7-11, 15, 17, 20-22, 24 and 26-29		
Prior art documents discussed:		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER It was agreed to amend the above-identified claims as set forth in paragraph problems as discussed during the telephone interview	n the examiner's amendment to o	vercome the 112, second
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar		
	·	
•		
Ce Ce	/A II A B	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)